

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,870	10/722,870 11/26/2003		Marc Alan Strand	80063 8649		
40850	7590	06/13/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
	ERIC D. MIDDLEMAS EASTMAN CHEMICAL COMPANY				BOYKIN, TERRESSA M	
	P. O. BOX 511				PAPER NUMBER	
KINGSPORT, TN 37662-5075				1711		

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4/	
nt(s)	<del></del>		
D ET AL.			
dence ac	Idress		
Λ			
sidered timel date of this c c. § 133). e any	y. ommunication.		
as to the 213.	e merits is		
·.			
1.85(a).	FR 1.121(d). O-152.		
).			
 National	Stage		

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/722,870	STRAND ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Terressa M. Boykin	1711					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh et with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4-3-	<u>6</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application	1.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers							
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

## **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments filed 4-3-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' claim 1 remains so broadly set forth that the claim continues to be interpreted by the Examiner as anticipated by the references while remaining within the scope of the specification. The composition basically consist of a polyester and an additive.

The fact that it may be used for calendering and that the additive prevent sticking may be an inherent property in literally thousands of polyesters although not mentioned or discussed. Any properties or characteristics inherent in the prior art, e.g. prevents sticking etc., although unobserved or detected by the reference, would still anticipate the claimed invention. Note In re Swinehart, 169 USPQ 226. "It is elementary that the mere recitation of a newly discovered...property, inherently possessed by things in the prior art, does not cause claim drawn to those things to distinguish over the prior art".

In should be noted that in order to prosecute the case resourcefully and expediently while giving the applicants the best possible search, it is imperative and practical for the applicants to clarify how the polyester containing an additive are arranged/incorporated/formed or structured therein.

Without such specificity or clarity of structure, the art of record (as well as several others) is within the scope of the present claims.

Art Unit: 1711

\* It would be beneficial and helpful for the applicants in order to expedite the prosecution of the case to be in position of allowability by using language from the specification or drawn directly from the examples of the specification that would clearly and further specify the claimed language without, of course, unfairly limiting applicants intended invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable USP 5399661 see abstract, cols. 1-4, examples and claim 1.

The reference discloses a thermoplastic copolyester composition having improved ductility, mold flow, and rate of crystallization, and a melting point of about 161.degree. C. or higher, comprising the reaction product of (A) at least one alkane diol selected from the group consisting of straight chain, branched, and cycloaliphatic C2-C 10 alkane diols; (B) a mixture of at least two cycloaliphatic diacids; said mixture comprising from about 0.5 to about 49 parts by weight of a cis-enantiomer and from about51 to about 99.5 parts by weight of a trans-enantiomer, the parts by weight of the cis-enantiomer and the trans-enantiomer being based upon 100 parts by weight of the cis- and trans-enantiomers combined; and (C) at least one aromatic diacid; wherein the molar ratio of cycloaliphatic diacid to aromatic diacid thereof ranges from 1:9 to 1:1,

Application/Control Number: 10/722,870

Art Unit: 1711

wherein said copolyester has recurring units of the formula

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 - R - 0 - C - R - C
\end{pmatrix}_{\overline{x}}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 - R - 0 - C - R - C
\end{pmatrix}_{\overline{x}}$$

wherein R represents residue of the alkane diol, R' represents the decarboxylated residue derived from the cycloaliphatic diacids, and R" represents an aromatic radical which is the decarboxylated residue of the aromatic diacid, and wherein the x units comprise from 10 to 90 parts by weight and the y units comprise from 90 to 10 parts by weight of 100 parts by weight of the copolyester.

Examples of suitable polyesters are linear saturated polyesters, which can be obtained either by polycondensation of a hydroxycarboxylic acid, or preferably, by synthesis from a dicarboxylic acid and a saturated diol. These include but are not limited to poly(butylene trans-1,4-cyclohexane dicarboxylate), poly(1,4-butylene terephthalate) and the like.

The polyester was molded and tested following the procedure of Example 1.

Again, as noted above, the actual composition as claimed consist of a polyester and an additive. The calendering may be considered a preamble and that the additive has the characteristic of preventing sticking may be an inherent property in many polyesters containing additives therein although not mentioned or discussed. The particular amount of residue does not seem to be the crux or invention part of the invention as claimed that may allegedly or subsequently

Application/Control Number: 10/722,870

Art Unit: 1711

affords the characteristic of nonstick etc.. Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to employ both the claimed ranges of the specific weight percent of branching monomer residues as well as the specific range for the inherent viscosity. Applicants have provided no satisfactory results that would lend to an unobvious result of using the now narrowed claimed range of the branching agent. Further, one would have known to adjust the inherent viscosity according to the function of the intended composition since no unobvious result is connected thereto. Consequently, the claimed invention cannot be deemed as unobvious and accordingly is unpatentable.

## Correspondence

Please note that the cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants may be referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Terressa Boykin whose telephone number is 571 272-1069. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30am to 3:00pm.

Art Unit: 1711

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. The general information number for listings of personnel is (571-272-1700).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tmb

TERRECOL M. BOVEN

TERRESSA M. BOYKIN PRIMARY EXAMINER